

The Planning Inspectorate

By email:  
[EggboroughCCGT@pins.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:EggboroughCCGT@pins.gsi.gov.uk)

**Our Ref: Michael Reynolds**  
**Your Ref: EN010081**

**Date:** 21 December 2017

Michael Reynolds  
Business and Environmental Services  
East Block  
County Hall  
Racecourse Lane  
Northallerton  
DL7 8AD

**Tel:** 01609 523253

**Email:**  
[michael.reynolds@northyorks.gov.uk](mailto:michael.reynolds@northyorks.gov.uk)

Dear Sirs

**Application by Eggborough Power Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Eggborough CCGT Project**

**Deadline 4**

We write further to the Issue Specific Hearing on Environmental Matters held on Wednesday 22 November 2017 and our letter in submission of deadline 3 dated 30 November 2017.

As advised, The Authorities approached Paul Jones of Dunvm Drainage Commissioners of the Shires Group of IDBs seeking a full response to those questions which we deferred to the Internal Drainage Board.

The Internal Drainage Board has responded as follows:

- *FW 1.11 does not refer to IDBs and would not be relevant to IDBs under the Land Drainage Act 1991 (as amended) or Flood & Water Management Act 2010*
- *FW 1.21 Having reviewed the outline drainage strategy app 11A and, given the evidence-based hydraulic modelling that has been undertaken for Aecom demonstrating negligible impact on water / flood levels within the Ordinary Watercourse system, I can confirm agreement in principle to the proposed surface water discharge to Hensall Dyke, subject to a consent application (in accordance with Section 66 of the Land Drainage Act) being submitted in due course.*

*I would further comment that an area downstream of the proposed outfall on Hensall Dyke has experienced ponding of surface water. This is a direct result of coal mining subsidence in the area and we understand that this land has been written off by The Coal Authority and therefore there are no plans by to remediate the ponding of surface water in this area.*

*The proposed discharge into Hensall Dyke will be accommodated, in terms of flow, by the capacity of the pumping station downstream of the ponded area which is operated by Danvm Drainage Commissioners.*

In relation to FW1.11 the Authorities acknowledge the IDB's view in respect of this point. The Environment Agency has provided a response expressing a view to which NYCC would defer as the appropriate body.

We hope the Examining Authority finds this update useful.

Yours faithfully



Michael Reynolds  
Senior Policy Officer (Infrastructure)

